

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume I


Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1998-1999

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair, Ella Schwartz, Faith Teo,
Wendy Adams and Paul Broad

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume I


**Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

1998-1999

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair, Ella Schwartz, Faith Teo,
Wendy Adams and Paul Broad

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/familylawcasesma01roge_4

FAMILY LAW

Table of Contents

Volume I

Page No.

I. INTRODUCTION: THE FAMILY AND FAMILY LAW

A. The Family: Definitions and Demographics

| | |
|---|----|
| Excerpts from Statutes and International Documents..... | 1 |
| Vanier Institute, <i>Profiling Canada's Families</i> | 2 |
| <i>Moge v. Moge</i> | 3 |
| <i>Mossop v. Canada</i> | 4 |
| Barrett and McIntosh, <i>The Anti-Social Family</i> | 4 |
| Thorne, <i>Rethinking the Family: Some Feminist Questions</i> | 5 |
| La Novara, <i>A Portrait of Families in Canada</i> | 7 |
| Vanier Institute, <i>Profiling Canada's Families</i> | 9 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , "Family alive and well, study finds" | 9 |
| <i>Toronto Star</i> , " 'Typical' family has vanished, report says" | 10 |
| Baker, "Family Trends and Family Policies" | 12 |
| Drakich and Guberman, "Violence in the Family" | 16 |

B. Legal Regulation of the Family

| | |
|---|----|
| Balfour v. Balfour | 22 |
| Olsen, "The Family and the Market" | 23 |
| Minow, "Beyond State Intervention in the Family" | 28 |
| Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> | 30 |
| Glendon, <i>Abortion and Divorce in Western Law</i> | 36 |

II. MARRIAGE AND NULLITY

A. The Significance of Marriage

| | |
|---|----|
| Blackstone, <i>Commentaries on the Laws of England</i> | 38 |
| Cobbett, <i>Advice to Young Men and (incidentally) to Young Women</i> | 38 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , "Canadian marriage rates go into dive" | 39 |
| Barr, <i>Basic Facts on Families in Canada</i> | 40 |
| Vanier Institute, <i>Profiling Canada's Families</i> | 40 |
| Cox, "Alternative Families" | 43 |
| Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> | 43 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Hyde v. Hyde</i> | 44 |
| <i>Graham v. Graham</i> | 44 |
| Day, “The Charter and Family Law” | 47 |
| Note: The Significance of Marriage for Aboriginal Women | 48 |
| Glendon, “The New Marriage and the New Property” | 49 |
| B. Unmarried Cohabitation | |
| Note: Terminology | 59 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Common-law gains cachet” | 59 |
| Holland, “Cohabitation and Marriage—A Meeting at the Crossroads?” | 61 |
| Glendon, <i>The Transformation of Family Law</i> , “Informal Family Relations” | 66 |
| Note: The Legislative Treatment of Unmarried Couples in Ontario | 68 |
| <i>Miron v. Trudel</i> | 70 |
| Note: Determining Whether Unmarried Persons are “Spouses” | 75 |
| <i>Molodowich v. Penttinien</i> | 76 |
| <i>Stoikiewicz v. Filas</i> | 80 |
| <i>Le Labbe and McCullough</i> | 82 |
| Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on the Rights and Responsibilities of Cohabitants Under the Family Law Act (1993)</i> | 84 |
| C. Validity of Marriage | |
| (a) Overview | |
| Hahlo, “Nullity of Marriage in Canada” | 87 |
| Hovius, Note on Validity of Marriage | 88 |
| McLeod, “Validity of Marriage” from <i>Introduction to Family Law</i> | 89 |
| (b) Monogamy | |
| Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> | 93 |
| Note: Bigamous and Polygamous Relationships | 94 |
| <i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i> , “Polygamous spouses covered under B.C. family legislation, judge holds” | 96 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Community practises polygamy” | 98 |
| <i>New York Times</i> , “My Husband’s Nine Wives” | 100 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “France Moves to Stem Polygamy” | 101 |
| Note: Serial Polygamy | 104 |

(c) Capacity to Consummate

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Gajamugan v. Gajamugan</i> | 105 |
| <i>Dashevsky v. Dashevsky</i> | 107 |
| <i>Norman v. Norman</i> | 110 |

(d) Opposite Sexes

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>B. v. A.</i> | 112 |
| <i>Layland v. Ontario</i> | 118 |
| Law, “Homosexuality and the Social Meaning of Gender”..... | 124 |
| Duclos, “An Argument for Legal Recognition of Same Sex Marriage” | 125 |
| Note: The “Registered Partnership” in Europe | 127 |
| Note: <i>Baehr v. Lewin</i> | 128 |
| Note: Same-Sex Couples and Extended Definitions of “Spouse”..... | 129 |
| <i>Anderson v. Luoma</i> | 129 |
| Note: Challenges to Benefits Programmes | 132 |
| <i>Mossop v. Canada</i> | 132 |
| <i>Egan v. Canada</i> | 139 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Idea of extending benefits revived by Justice Minister” | 147 |
| Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on the Rights and Responsibilities of Cohabitants Under the Family Law Act</i> (1993) ... | 148 |
| Note: Ontario Bill 167 | 152 |
| <i>M. v. H.</i> | 153 |
| Note: B.C. Same-Sex Legislation | 163 |
| Note: <i>Rosenberg v. Canada</i> | 163 |

(e) Age Requirements for a Valid Marriage.....163

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>The Guardian Weekly</i> , “Romantic Dilemma for Child Bride” | 164 |
|---|-----|

(f) Consent as a Requirement for a Valid Marriage.....165

III DIVORCE

A. The Social Context of Divorce

| | |
|---|-----|
| Barr, <i>Basic Facts on Families in Canada</i> | 167 |
| Payne, “The Dichotomy between Family Law and Family Crises on Marriage Breakdown | 168 |
| Richards, “Divorcing Children: Roles for Parents and the State” | 170 |

B. Reform of Divorce Law

(a) The Movement to No-Fault Divorce

| | |
|--|-----|
| Hahlo, “The Changing Face of Marriage and Divorce” | 173 |
| Abella, “Opening Address” in Sloss, ed., <i>Family Law in Canada</i> | 176 |
| Bissett-Johnson and Day, <i>The New Divorce Act</i> | 179 |
| Dept. Of Justice, <i>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</i> | 182 |
| Note: Divorce Reform in England | 187 |

(b) Critiques of No-Fault Divorce

| | |
|--|-----|
| R.E.A.L. Women, “Easy Divorce?”..... | 188 |
| Weitzman, “Divorce and the Illusion of Equality” | 190 |
| Melli, “Constructing a Social Problem: The Post-Divorce Plight of Women and Children” | 194 |
| Note: Other Critiques of No-Fault Divorce | 195 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Putting the ‘fault’ back in no-fault divorce” | 200 |
| <i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i> , “Spousal misconduct returns to divorce?” | 202 |
| Note: <i>Valenti v. Valenti</i> | 205 |
| Note: Tort of Emotional Distress..... | 206 |

(c) Grounds for Divorce

(i) Living Separate and Apart

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Rushton v. Rushton</i> | 209 |
| <i>Dupere v. Dupere</i> | 210 |
| Note: Relevance of Date of Separation for Property Division under the <i>Family Law Act</i> | 212 |
| Note: Alternative to Separation as a “No-Fault” Ground for Divorce.... | 212 |

(ii) Adultery

| | |
|--|-----|
| Bissett-Johnson and Day, <i>The New Divorce Law</i> , Definition of Adultery | 213 |
| Glendon, <i>The Transformation of Family Law</i> | 213 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Wisconsin woman to stand trial on rarely used adultery charge” | 214 |
| <i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i> , re Brazilian defence of honour | 215 |
| <i>Mastalerz v. Garland</i> | 216 |
| <i>Davenport v. Miller</i> | 218 |

(iii) Cruelty

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Knoll v. Knoll</i> | 221 |
| <i>Austin v. Austin</i> | 224 |
| <i>Gilbert v. Gilbert</i> | 225 |
| <i>B.(Y.) v. B.(J.)</i> | 229 |

(d) **Bars to Proceedings**(i) Condonation, Connivance, Collusion

| | |
|--|-----|
| Davies, <i>Family Law in Canada</i> , “Condonation” | 231 |
| <i>Guglielmo v. Mikhail</i> (<i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i>) | 233 |
| Davies, <i>Family Law in Canada</i> , “Connivance” and “Collusion” | 234 |

(ii) Inadequate Provision for Child Support..... 254(iii) Removal of Barriers to Religious Divorce

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Ties that continue to bind”..... | 237 |
| <i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Religious laws to be removed as bargaining chip’ in divorces” | 240 |

C. Institutional Reforms: Mediation

| | |
|--|-----|
| Landau, “Mediation: An Option for Divorcing Families” | 241 |
| Woods, “Mediation: A Backlash to Women’s Progress on Family Law Issues” | 245 |
| Payne and Overend, “Divorce Mediation: An Overview of Process and Strategies” | 247 |

IV. THE ECONOMICS OF MARRIAGE BREAKDOWN**A. The Economics of Marriage**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Vanier Institute, <i>Profiling Canada’s Families</i> | 256 |
| Statistics Canada, <i>Women in the Labour Force</i> | 259 |
| Note: 1995 Statistics on Women’s Labour Force Participation..... | 261 |
| Moller Okin, <i>Justice, Gender and the Family</i> | 261 |
| Gunderson, <i>Women and Labour Market Poverty</i> | 262 |

B. The Economic Consequences of Divorce

| | |
|--|-----|
| Vanier Institute, <i>Profiling Canada’s Families</i> | 265 |
| <i>Willick v. Willick</i> | 267 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Note: Poverty Statistics for 1995 | 268 |
| Rogerson, "Winning the Battle, Losing the War" | 268 |
| Note: Economic Consequences of Divorce | 272 |
| <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , "Women suffer financially post-divorce while men benefit: Satscan study"..... | 273 |
| <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , "Family law reform can't solve divorce poverty?"..... | 275 |